

7th PT/EQA Workshop - Istanbul 2011

Report from WG4



Evaluating Participant Performance in Qualitative PT/EQA schemes

- Convenors:
 - Vivienne James (UK NEQAS)
 - Owen Butler (HSL, UK)
 - Piotr Robouch (EC-JRC-IRMM, Geel)



The Working Group

- Total : 57 ± xx
- PT Providers:
 - Accredited to ISO/IEC 17043: 17
 - Accredited but not to ISO/IEC 17043: 9
- Accreditation Bodies:



Sectors represented

- Medical
- Food & Environment
- Product testing
- Tissue Bank (research)



What is understood by qualitative PTs?

- Presence / absence
- Identification
- Ordinal
- Colour scales
- Titration
- Enumeration

final interpretation of results constitutes a qualitative statement



What different performance assessments are used in qualitative PT/EQA tests?

- self-assessment
- FP/FN rating
- Scoring
- spiking the material
- using RM
- using expert panel
- consensus
- multiple response
- relative likelihood of the answer



Is there a need for a harmonised scoring system? How could this be achieved

- difficult
- will to harmonise
- some clarification / guidance is needed
- acceptability criteria must be defined to allow comparability & harmonisation
- relevant stakeholders to be consulted
- i.e. regulations / guidelines
- need to harmonise coding system / nomenclature
- cultural change



How can the long term performance be evaluated? How can this be expressed

- is it useful? to whom (Lab, customer, NAB?)
- immediate feedback seems more useful
- It is possible for same/similar measurand
- Or use composite scoring
- For long term evaluation need to consider frequency of participation
- Time review may identify:
 - insufficient training
 - poor instrumentation
 - other aspects of the Quality System